arXiv:1702.03785v1 [math.AP] 13 Feb 2017

THE EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE LEAST ENERGY SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF SCHRÖDINGER-POISSON SYSTEMS INVOLVING CRITICAL NONLOCAL TERM WITH GENERAL NONLINEARITY

LIEJUN SHEN AND XIAOHUA YAO

Abstract. The present study is concerned with the following Schrödinger-Poisson system involving critical nonlocal term with general nonlinearity:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u - \phi|u|^3u = f(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta\phi = |u|^5, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

Under certain assumptions on non-constant $V(x)$, the existence of a positive least energy solution is obtained by using some new analytical skills and Pohožaev type manifold. In particular, the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz type condition or monotonicity assumption on the nonlinearity is not necessary.

1. Introduction and main results

In this paper, the existence of positive least energy solutions will be proved for the following nonlinear Schrödinger-Poisson systems involving critical nonlocal term:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u - \phi|u|^3u = f(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta\phi = |u|^5, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

The potential $V(x)$ is assumed to verify the following conditions:

(V_1) *$V(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ is weakly differentiable, $(x, \nabla V)$ and the best Sobolev constant S (see (2.1) below) satisfy the following inequality:*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(x, \nabla V)|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \leq S,$$

where $(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the usual inner product in $\mathbb{R}^3$;

(V_2) *there exists a positive constant V_∞ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$*

$$0 \leq V(x) \leq \liminf_{|y|\to+\infty} V(y) = V_\infty < +\infty,$$

where the two inequalities are strict in some subsets of positive Lebesgue measure.

Date: March 21, 2017.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J20, 35J60, 35J92.

Key words and phrases. Schrödinger-Poisson systems, critical nonlocal term, least energy solution, Pohožaev type manifold, Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz type condition, monotonicity.

Since we are interested in positive solutions, without loss of generality, we assume that $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ vanishes in $(-\infty, 0)$ and satisfies the following conditions:

(f_1) $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ *and* $f(t) = o(t)$ *as* $t \to 0^+$, *here* $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$;

(f_2) f *has a subcritical growth at infinity, that is,* $f(t) = o(t^5)$ *as* $t \to \infty$;

(f_3) *there exist constants* $\mu > 0$ *and* $q \in (1, 5)$ *such that* $F(t) \geq \mu t^{q+1}$, *where* $F(t) = \int_0^t f(s)ds$.

Due to the real physical meaning, the following Schrödinger-Poisson system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u + \phi u = f(x, u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta \phi = u^2, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

has been studied extensively by many scholars in the last several decades. The system like (1.2) firstly introduced by Benci and Fortunato [7] was used to describe solitary waves for nonlinear Schördinger type equations and look for the existence of standing waves interacting with an unknown electrostatic field. We refer the readers to [7, 8, 31, 34] and the references therein to get a more physical background of the system (1.2).

In recent years, by classical variational methods, there are many interesting works about the existence and non-existence of positive solutions, positive ground states, multiple solutions, sign-changing solutions and semiclassical states to the system (1.2) with different assumptions on the potential $V(x)$ and the nonlinearity $f(x, u)$ were established. If $V(x) \equiv 1$ and $f(x, u) = |u|^{p-1}u$, T. d'Aprile and D. Mugnai [15] showed that the system (1.2) has no nontrivial solutions when $p \leq 1$ or $p \geq 5$. For the case $4 \leq p < 6$, the existence of radial and non-radial solutions was studied in [13, 14, 16]. D. Ruiz [36] proved the existence and nonexistence of nontrivial solutions when $1 < p < 5$. When $V(x) \equiv 0$ and $f(x, u) = g(u)$, A. Azzollini, P. d'Avenia and A. Pomponio [4] investigated the existence of nontrivial radial solutions when $\mu \in (0, \mu_0)$ for the following system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \mu\phi u = g(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta \phi = \mu u^2, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

under the conditions $g \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and

(H_1) $-\infty < \liminf_{t\to 0} \frac{g(t)}{t} \leq \limsup_{t\to 0} \frac{g(t)}{t} = -m < 0$;

(H_2) $-\infty \leq \limsup_{t\to\infty} \frac{g(t)}{t^5} \leq 0$;

(H_3) there exists $\xi > 0$ such that $\int_0^\xi g(t)dt > 0$.

We mention here that the hypotheses $(H_1) - (H_3)$ are the so-called Berestycki-Lions conditions, which were introduced in H. Berestycki and P. L. Lions [10] for the derivation of the ground state solution of (1.3). If $V(x) \not\equiv$ *constant* and $f(x, u) = |u|^{p-1}u + \mu|u|^4u$ with $2 < p < 5$, the existence of positive ground state was obtained by Z. Liu and S. Guo [32]. By using superposition principle established by N. Ackermann [1], the system

(1.2) with a periodic potential was studied by J. Sun and S. Ma [39], where the existence of infinitely many geometrically distinct solutions was proved. For other related and important results, we refer the readers to [3, 18, 19, 23, 37, 42, 44] and their references.

However, the results for the following general Schrödinger-Poisson system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u + p\phi g(u) = f(x,u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta \phi = 2pG(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

are not so fruitful as the case $g(u) = u$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}$, where $|g(t)| \le C(|t|+|t|^s)$ with $s \in (1,4)$, please see [6, 26] for example. When $s = 4$ in (1.4), A. Azzollini and P. d'Avenia [5] firstly studied the following Schrödinger-Poisson system with critical nonlocal term

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \mu u + p\phi|u|^3 u, & x \in B_R, \\ -\Delta \phi = p|u|^5, & x \in B_R, \\ u = \phi = 0, & \text{on } \partial B_R. \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

Note that although the second equation can be solved by a Green's function, the term $p|u|^5$ will result in a nonlocal critically growing nonlinearity in (1.5). After it, by assuming the conditions

(h_1) $h \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ *and* $\lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{h(t)}{bt+t^5} = 0$*;*

(h_2) $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{h(t)}{t^5} = 0$*;*

(h_3) *there exist* $r \in (4,6)$ *and* $A, B > 0$ *such that* $H(t) \ge At^r - Bt^2$ *for* $t \ge 0$*, here* $H(t) = \int_0^t h(s)ds$*,*

and using a monotonic trick introduced by L. Jeanjean [20], F. Li, Y. Li and J. Shi [25] specially proved the following Schrödinger-Poisson system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + bu - \phi|u|^3 u = h(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta \phi = |u|^5, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

possesses at least one positive radially symmetric solution when $b > 0$ is a constant.

To the best of our knowledge, the Schrodinger-Poisson system with critical nonlocal term was rarely studied in [5, 25, 33, 38]. Inspired by the all works mentioned above, particularly, by the results in [25], we try to prove the existence of positive least energy solution for the system (1.1).

Our main result is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume* $V(x)$ *and* $f(x)$ *satisfy the conditions* $(V_1)-(V_2)$ *and* $(f_1)-(f_3)$*, respectively. Then the system* (1.1) *admits a positive least energy solution* $(u,\phi) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *for any* $\mu > 0$ *with* $q \in (3,5)$*, or a sufficiently large* $\mu > 0$ *with* $q \in (1,3]$.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1, the following result is immediate:

Corollary 1.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 with* (V_1) *replaced by*

(V_3) *$V(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ is weakly differentiable and there exists a constant $A \in (0, \frac{1}{4}]$ such that*

$$|(x, \nabla V)| \leq \frac{A}{|x|^2} \text{ for almost } x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \{0\},$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the usual inner product in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Then the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 still holds.

Remark 1.3. *Compared with the results in F. Li, Y. Li and J. Shi [25], there are four improvements in our paper: (i) We deal with the case that the potential $V(x)$ is not a constant. (ii) The restriction on the work space which is radially symmetric can be removed. (iii) Our approach to prove the existence of nontrivial solution is different. (iv) The nonlinear term are more general in our paper, and the solution obtained in Theorem 1.1 or Corollary 1.2 can be confirmed as the least energy solution.*

Remark 1.4. *On the potential in Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2, we give some brief explanations:*

(1) To the best knowledge of us, the condition (V_1) seems to be used for the first time to study Schrödinger-Poisson systems.

(2) The hypothesis $V(x) \geq 0$ in (V_2) can be replaced by: there exists a constant $\overline{C} > 0$ such that

$$\overline{C} \triangleq \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2 dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 dx} > 0,$$

which is mainly used to ensure an equivalent norm to the usual norm in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, please see [28, 45].

(3) There are a great number of potential functions $V(x)$ satisfying the conditions (V_1) and (V_2). For example,

$$V(x) = V_\infty\Big(1 - \frac{C}{1 + |x|^3}\Big).$$

Similarly the functions

$$V_1(x) = V_\infty - \frac{A}{1 + |x|^2}$$

verify the conditions (V_2) and (V_3).

(4) In our paper, the range of A in (V_3) depends on the sharp constant of Hardy inequality [24]:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \tag{1.6}$$

Now we give our main idea for the proof of Theorem 1.1. Although, it is easy to verify that the functional I_V (see Section 2) possesses a Mountain-pass geometry in the usual

way and then a (PS) sequence can be obtained, it is difficult to prove its boundedness because the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz type condition ((AR) in short)

(AR) *There exists* $\gamma > 2$ *such that* $0 < \gamma F(t) \leq f(t)t$ *for all* $t \neq 0$

or the monotonicity assumption

(M) *The map* $t \to \frac{f(t)}{t}$ *is positive for* $t \neq 0$, *strictly decreasing on* $(-\infty, 0)$ *and strictly increasing on* $(0, +\infty)$

does not hold. Even a bounded (PS) sequence can be established, the lack of compact Sobolev embedding and the condition (M) lead to great difficulties in proving the functional satisfies the so-called (PS) condition. To overcome the above difficulties, motivated by [43], we use an indirect approach developed by L. Jeanjean [21]. As a consequence, a bounded $(PS)_{c_\lambda}$ sequence for the functional $I_{V,\lambda}$ (see (3.5)) is obtained, we use a similar method presented in [22] to recover the global compactness lemma and then to prove the $(PS)_{c_\lambda}$ condition. However before we success in showing the $(PS)_{c_\lambda}$ condition, we have to pull the energy level down below some specific critical level:

$$c_\lambda < \frac{2}{5}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

because of the critical nonlocal term.

To apply the global compactness lemma mentioned above, first of all, we need to consider the existence of ground state solutions of the associated "limit problem" of (1.1), which is given as

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V_\infty u - \phi|u|^3 u = \lambda f(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta \phi = |u|^5, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$

Since V_∞ and λ are constants, we just need to investigate the following equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u - \phi|u|^3 u = f(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta \phi = |u|^5, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

for simplicity.

We obtain the following result:

Theorem 1.5. *Assume* $(f_1) - (f_3)$ *hold, then the system* (1.7) *possesses a positive least energy solution* $(u, \phi) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *for any* $\mu > 0$ *with* $q \in (3, 5)$, *or a sufficiently large* $\mu > 0$ *with* $q \in (1, 3]$.

Remark 1.6. *To prove Theorem 1.5, we adopt an approach proposed by L. Jeanjean* [20] *to construct a* (PS) *sequence* $\{u_n\}$ *which satisfies asymptotically the Pohožaev type identity:*

$$P(u_n) \to 0,$$

where $P(u) = 0$ is the Pohožaev identity related to the system (1.7) *and c is a Mountain-pass energy given in* (2.21) *below. The approach used in* [20]*, which deals with a local functional needs to be improved because of the effect of the nonlocal term.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide several lemmas, which are crucial in proving our main results. In Section 3, firstly the proof of Theorem 1.5 is obtained, then we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Notation. Throughout this paper we shall denote by C and C_i $(i = 1, 2, \cdots)$ for various positive constants whose exact value may change from lines to lines but are not essential to the analysis of problem. $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ $(1 \le p \le +\infty)$ is the usual Lebesgue space with the standard norm $|u|_p$. For any Lebesgue measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, $|E|$ means the Lebesgue measure of the set E. We use " $\to$ " and " $\rightharpoonup$ " to denote the strong and weak convergence in the related function space, respectively. The symbol " $\hookrightarrow$ " means a function space is continuously imbedding into another function space. For any $\rho > 0$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $B_\rho(x)$ denotes the ball of radius ρ centered at x, that is, $B_\rho(x) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |y - x| < \rho\}$.

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space with its dual space $(X^{-1}, \|\cdot\|_*)$, and Ψ be its functional on X. The Palais-Smale sequence at level $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ($(PS)_c$ sequence in short) corresponding to Ψ assumes that $\Psi(x_n) \to c$ and $\Psi'(x_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, where $\{x_n\} \subset X$. If for any $(PS)_c$ sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ such that $x_{n_k} \to x_0$ in X for some $x_0 \in X$, then we say that the functional Ψ satisfies the so-called $(PS)_c$ condition.

2. Variational settings and preliminaries

In this section, we will give some lemmas which are useful for the main results. To solve the system (1.1), we introduce some function spaces. Throughout the paper, we consider the Hilbert space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with the inner product and the norm as follows

$$(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla u \nabla v + V(x)uvdx \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\| = \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2 dx \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

which are equivalent to the usual inner product and the norm in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ because of the assumption (V_2). The space

$$D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{u \in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3) : \nabla u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\}$$

equips with its usual inner product and norm

$$(u, v)_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla u \nabla v dx \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

respectively. The positive constant S denotes the best Sobolev constant:

$$S := \inf_{u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^6 dx \big)^{\frac{1}{3}}}. \tag{2.1}$$

In the following, one can use the Lax-Milgram theorem, for every $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, there exists a unique $\phi_u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$-\Delta\phi = |u|^5 \tag{2.2}$$

and ϕ_u can be written as

$$\phi_u(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|u(y)|^5}{|x-y|}dy. \tag{2.3}$$

Substituting (2.3) into (1.1), we get a single elliptic equation with a nonlocal term:

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u - \phi_u|u|^3u = f(u)$$

whose corresponding functional $I_V : H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$I_V(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_u|u|^5dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}F(u)dx. \tag{2.4}$$

We mention here that the idea of this reduction method was proposed by Benci and Fortunato [7] and it is a basic strategy for studying Schrödinger-Poisson system today.

For simplicity, the conditions $(f_1)-(f_3)$ always hold true though out this paper and we don't assume them any longer unless specially needed. Thus for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$|uf(u)| \le \epsilon|u|^2 + C_\epsilon|u|^6 \ \text{ and } \ |F(u)| \le \epsilon|u|^2 + C_\epsilon|u|^6. \tag{2.5}$$

To know more about the solution ϕ of the Poisson equation in (1.1) which can leads to a critical nonlocal term, we have the following key lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *For every $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have the following conclusions:*

(1) $\phi_u(x) \ge 0$ *for every* $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$;

(2) $\|\phi_u\|^2_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_u|u|^5dx$;

(3) *for any* $t > 0$, $\phi_{tu} = t^5\phi_u$;

(4) *if* $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ *in* $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, *then* $\phi_{u_n} \rightharpoonup \phi_u$ *in* $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof. As a direct consequence of (2.2) and (2.3), one can derive (1), (2) and (3) at once.

For any $v \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then $v \in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by (2.1). Since $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $|u_n|^5 \rightharpoonup |u|^5$ in $L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Therefore

$$(\phi_{u_n}, v)_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u_n|^5vdx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u|^5vdx = (\phi_u, v)_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

which implies that (4) is true. □

Furthermore, by (2) of Lemma 2.1, Hölder's inequality and (2.1), one has

$$\|\phi_u\|^2_{D^{1,2}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_u|u|^5dx \le |\phi_u|_6|u|^5_6 \le S^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\phi_u\|_{D^{1,2}}|u|^5_6$$

which implies that

$$\|\phi_u\|_{D^{1,2}} \leq S^{-\frac{1}{2}}|u|_6^5 \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u|u|^5 dx \leq S^{-6}\|u\|^{10}. \tag{2.7}$$

From (2.5) and (2.7) we have that the functional I_V given by (2.4) is well-defined on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and is of $C^1(H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \mathbb{R})$ class (see [41]), and for any $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ one has

$$\langle I_V'(u), v\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla u \nabla v + V(x)uvdx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u|u|^3 uvdx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(u)vdx.$$

It is standard to verify that a critical point $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of the functional I_V corresponds to a weak solution $(u, \phi_u) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of (1.1). In other words, if we can seek a critical point of the functional I_V, then the system (1.1) is solvable. In the following, we call (u, ϕ_u) is a positive solution of (1.1) if u is a positive critical of the functional I_V. And (u, ϕ_u) is a least energy solution of (1.1) if the critical point u of the functional I_V verifies

$$I_V(u) = \min_{v \in \mathcal{S}_V} I_V(v),$$

where $\mathcal{S}_V := \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\backslash\{0\} : I_V'(u) = 0\}$.

Motivated by the well-known Brézis-Lieb lemma [12], we have the following important lemma to prove the convergence of Schrödinger-Poisson system (1.1) involving a critical nonlocal term.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $r \geq 1$ and Ω be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Suppose that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $L^r(\Omega)$, and $u_n \to u$ a.e. in Ω as $n \to \infty$, then*

$$|u_n|^p - |u_n - u|^p - |u|^p \to 0 \ \text{ in } \ L^{\frac{r}{p}}(\Omega)$$

as $n \to \infty$ for any $p \in [1, r]$.

Proof. The proof is standard, so we omit it and the reader can refer in [25, Lemma 2.2] for the detail proof. □

Lemma 2.3. *If $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then going to a subsequence if necessary, we derive*

$$|u_n|^5 - |u_n - u|^5 - |u|^5 \to 0 \ \text{ in } \ L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^3), \tag{2.8}$$

$$\phi_{u_n} - \phi_{u_n - u} - \phi_u \to 0 \ \text{ in } \ D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3), \tag{2.9}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n}|u_n|^5 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n-u}|u_n - u|^5 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u|u|^5 dx \to 0, \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n}|u_n|^3 u_n \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u|u|^3 u\varphi dx \to 0 \tag{2.11}$$

for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof. Since $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$. And $u_n \to u$ *a.e.* in $\mathbb{R}^3$ because $u_n \to u$ in $L^s_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $1 \le s < 6$ in the sense of a subsequence. If we take $r = 6$ and $p = 5$ in Lemma 2.2, one has (2.8) immediately.

It follows from (2) of Lemma (2.1) and Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|(\phi_{u_n} - \phi_{u_n-u} - \phi_u, w)_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}\right| &= \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla(\phi_{u_n} - \phi_{u_n-u} - \phi_u)\nabla w dx\Big| \\ &= \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u_n|^5 - |u_n - u|^5 - |u|^5) w dx\Big| \\ &\le |w|_6 \left|(|u_n|^5 - |u_n - u|^5 - |u|^5)\right|_{\frac{6}{5}},\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{w\in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3),\ \ \|w\|_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}=1} \left|(\phi_{u_n} - \phi_{u_n-u} - \phi_u, w)_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}\right| \\ \le\ & \left|(|u_n|^5 - |u_n - u|^5 - |u|^5)\right|_{\frac{6}{5}} \overset{(2.8)}{\to} 0,\end{aligned}$$

hence (2.9) holds.

Using (2.9), one has $\phi_{u_n} - \phi_{u_n-u} - \phi_u \to 0$ in $L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}|A_1| &:= \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\phi_{u_n} - \phi_{u_n-u} - \phi_u)|u_n|^5 dx\Big| \\ &\le |u_n|_6^5 \left|\phi_{u_n} - \phi_{u_n-u} - \phi_u\right|_6 \to 0.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can deduce that

$$A_2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\phi_{u_n} - \phi_{u_n-u} - \phi_u)|u|^5 dx \to 0.$$

In view of (2.6), $\{\phi_{u_n-u}\}$ is bounded in $L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then using Hölder's inequality again,

$$\begin{aligned}|A_3| &:= \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n-u}(|u_n|^5 - |u_n - u|^5 - |u|^5) dx\Big| \\ &\le |\phi_{u_n-u}|_6 \left|(|u_n|^5 - |u_n - u|^5 - |u|^5)\right|_{\frac{6}{5}} \overset{(2.8)}{\to} 0.\end{aligned}$$

As $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then one has $\phi_{u_n} \rightharpoonup \phi_u$ in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by (4) of Lemma 2.1 and thus $\phi_{u_n} \rightharpoonup \phi_u$ in $L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Clearly, $|u|^5 \in L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, thus

$$A_4 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\phi_{u_n} - \phi_u)|u|^5 dx \to 0.$$

By $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, one has $|u_n|^5 \rightharpoonup |u|^5$ in $L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Since $\phi_u \in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then

$$A_5 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u(|u_n|^5 - |u|^5) dx \to 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_{u_n}|u_n|^5dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_{u_n-u}|u_n-u|^5dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_u|u|^5dx\\ =\;&A_1-A_2+A_3+A_4+A_5\\ \to\;&0,\end{aligned}$$

which shows that (2.10) is true.

Since $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then one can deduce again that $\phi_{u_n} \rightharpoonup \phi_u$ in $L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$. By $|u|^3u\varphi \in L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ because $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_{u_n}|u|^3u\varphi dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_u|u|^3u\varphi dx\to 0.$$

On the other hand, by means of Hölder's inequality and $\{\phi_{u_n}\}$ is bounded in $L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_{u_n}|u_n|^3u_n\varphi dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_{u_n}|u|^3u\varphi dx\right|\\ \le\;&\int_{\operatorname{supp}\varphi}|\phi_{u_n}||\varphi|\big||u_n|^3u_n-|u|^3u\big|dx\\ \le\;&|\phi_{u_n}|_6|\varphi|_\infty\Big(\int_{\operatorname{supp}\varphi}\big||u_n|^3u_n-|u|^3u\big|^{\frac{6}{5}}dx\Big)^{\frac{5}{6}}\to 0,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $u_n \to u$ in $L^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $1 \le s < 6$ in the sense of a subsequence. As a consequence of the above two facts, one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_{u_n}|u_n|^3u_n\varphi dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_u|u|^3u\varphi dx\to 0.$$

The proof is complete. □

In this paper, the Pohožaev identity (see [10, 15] for example) will paly an vital role. Here we prove a more generalized Pohožaev identity which can be seen as an partial extension to that of in [25].

Lemma 2.4. *(Pohožaev identity) Assume V and f satisfy $(V_1)-(V_2)$ and $(f_1)-(f_3)$, respectively. Let $(u,\phi_u) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\times D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a weak solution for (1.1), then we have the following Pohožaev identity:*

$$\begin{aligned}P_V(u)\triangleq\;&\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2dx+\frac{3}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}V(x)|u|^2dx+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x,\nabla V)|u|^2dx-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_u|u|^5dx\\ &-3\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}F(u)dx\equiv 0.\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

In particular if $V(x)\equiv 1$, the above Pohožaev identity can be rewritten as follows:

$$P(u)\triangleq\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2dx+\frac{3}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u|^2dx-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_u|u|^5dx-3\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}F(u)dx\equiv 0.\tag{2.13}$$

Proof. For any $R > 0$, by multiplying $x \cdot \nabla u$ and $x \cdot \nabla \phi$ on the both sides for the two equations in (1.1) respectively and then integrating on B_R, one has

$$\int_{B_R} -\Delta u(x, \nabla u)dx = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{R}\int_{\partial B_R} |(x, \nabla u)|^2 dx + \frac{R}{2}\int_{\partial B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx, \quad (2.14)$$

$$\int_{B_R} V(x)u(x, \nabla u)dx = -\frac{3}{2}\int_{B_R} V(x)|u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2}\int_{B_R} |u|^2(x, \nabla V)dx + \frac{R}{2}\int_{\partial B_R} |u|^2 dx, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\int_{B_R} \phi|u|^3 u(x, \nabla u)dx = -\frac{1}{5}\int_{B_R} |u|^5(x, \nabla \phi)dx - -\frac{3}{5}\int_{B_R} \phi|u|^5 dx + \frac{R}{5}\int_{\partial B_R} \phi|u|^5 dx, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\int_{B_R} f(u)(x, \nabla u)dx = -3\int_{B_R} F(u)dx + R\int_{\partial B_R} F(u)dx, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\int_{B_R} -\Delta \phi(x, \nabla \phi)dx = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{B_R} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx - \frac{1}{R}\int_{\partial B_R} |(x, \nabla \phi)|^2 dx + \frac{R}{2}\int_{\partial B_R} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx. \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$\int_{B_R} |u|^5(x, \nabla \phi)dx = \int_{B_R} -\Delta \phi(x, \nabla \phi)dx. \quad (2.19)$$

It follows from (2.14)-(2.17) and letting $R \to +\infty$ that

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{3}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)|u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2(x, \nabla V)dx - \frac{1}{5}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^5(x, \nabla \phi)dx$$
$$-\frac{3}{5}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi|u|^5 dx = 3\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u)dx. \quad (2.20)$$

Using (2.18)-(2.20) and letting $R \to +\infty$ again, one can deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{3}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)|u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2(x, \nabla V)dx + \frac{1}{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx$$
$$-\frac{3}{5}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi|u|^5 dx = 3\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u)dx.$$

Recalling that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi|u|^5 dx,$$

then by means of the above two formulas, we obtain (2.12). Hence (2.13) is trivial. □

Lemma 2.5. *The functional $I(u)$ corresponding to* (1.7) *satisfies the Mountain-pass geometry around $0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, that is,*

(*i*) *there exist $\alpha_1, \rho_1 > 0$ such that $I(u) \geq \alpha_1 > 0$ when $\|u\| = \rho_1$;*
(*ii*) *there exists $e \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\|e\| > \rho_1$ such that $I(e) < 0$.*

Proof. (*i*) By the definition of I, (2.5), (2.7) and Sobolev theorem, one has

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u|u|^5 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u)dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{10}S^{-6}\|u\|^{10} - C\epsilon\|u\|^2 - CC_\epsilon\|u\|^6, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore letting $\epsilon = \frac{1}{4C} > 0$, then there exist constants $\alpha_1, \rho_1 > 0$ such that $I(u) \geq \alpha_1$ whenever $\|u\| = \rho_1$.

(*ii*) For any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\backslash\{0\}$, it follows from the assumption (f_1) that

$$I(tu) \leq \frac{t^2}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{t^{10}}{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u |u|^5 dx \to -\infty$$

as $t \to +\infty$. Hence choosing $e = t_0 u$ for some $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\backslash\{0\}$ with t_0 large enough, then one has $\|e\| > \rho_1$ and $I(e) < 0$. □

As a consequence of Lemma 2.5, we can find a (PS) sequence of the functional $I(u)$ at the level

$$c := \inf_{\eta\in\Gamma}\max_{t\in[0,1]} I(\eta(t)) > 0, \tag{2.21}$$

where the set of paths is defined as

$$\Gamma := \{\eta \in C([0,1], H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) : \eta(0) = 0, I(\eta(1)) < 0\}. \tag{2.22}$$

Remark 2.6. *It is easy to see that*

$$c \leq \inf_{u\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\backslash\{0\}}\max_{t\geq 0} I(tu). \tag{2.23}$$

Indeed, for any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\backslash\{0\}$, similar to Lemma 2.5 (ii) there exists a sufficiently large $t_0 > 0$ such that $I(t_0 u) < 0$. Let us choose $\gamma_0(t) = tt_0 u$, therefore $\gamma_0 \in C([0,1], H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and moreover $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$, thus

$$c \leq \max_{t\in[0,1]} I(\gamma_0(t)) = \max_{t\in[0,1]} I(tt_0 u) = \max_{t\in[0,t_0]} I(tu) \leq \max_{t\geq 0} I(tu).$$

Since $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\backslash\{0\}$ in arbitrary, then (2.8) *holds.*

Even though a $(PS)_c$ sequence has been established, we can never easily affirm that whether this $(PS)_c$ sequence is bounded because the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz type condition or monotonicity assumption on the nonlinearity is not satisfied. To overcome it, we will construct a (PS) sequence $\{u_n\}$ for I at the level c that satisfies $P(u_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, here $P(u)$ is given by (2.13).

Proposition 2.7. *There exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that*

$$I(u_n) \to c,\ I'(u_n) \to 0,\ P(u_n) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{2.24}$$

Proof. Following the idea introduced by L. Jeanjean [20], we define a map $\Phi(\tau, v) : \mathbb{R} \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \to H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ by

$$\Phi(\tau, v) = v(e^{-\tau}x).$$

For every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the functional $I \circ \Phi(\tau, v) \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \mathbb{R})$ is computed as

$$I \circ \Phi = \frac{e^\tau}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \frac{e^{3\tau}}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v|^2 dx - \frac{e^{5\tau}}{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi|v|^5 dx - e^{3\tau}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(v)dx.$$

Similar to Lemma 2.5, we can easily check that $I\circ\Phi(\tau,v)>0$ for all (τ,v) with $|\tau|>0$, $\|v\|>0$ small and $(I\circ\Phi)(0,u_0)<0$, *i.e.* $I\circ\Phi$ possesses the Mountain-pass geometry in $\mathbb{R}\times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence we can define the Mountain-pass level of $I\circ\Phi$:

$$\tilde{c}:=\inf_{\tilde{\eta}\in\tilde{\Gamma}}\sup_{\theta\in[0,1]}(I\circ\Phi)(\tilde{\eta}(\theta)), \tag{2.25}$$

where the set of paths is defined as

$$\tilde{\Gamma}:=\{\tilde{\eta}\in C([0,1],\mathbb{R}\times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)):\tilde{\eta}(0)=(0,0),\ (I\circ\Phi)(\tilde{\eta}(1))<0\}. \tag{2.26}$$

It is easy to see that $\{0\}\times\Gamma\subset\tilde{\Gamma}$ and then $\tilde{c}\le c$. On the other hand, $\Phi(\tilde{\Gamma})\subset\Gamma$ gives us that $\tilde{c}\ge c$. Therefore, the Mountain-pass levels of I and $I\circ\Phi$ coincide, that is,

$$c=\tilde{c}. \tag{2.27}$$

Let $\gamma_n\subset\Gamma$ satisfy $\max_{\theta\in[0,1]}I(\gamma_n(\theta))\le c+\frac{1}{n^2}$ and set $\widetilde{\gamma}_n(\theta)=(0,\gamma_n(\theta))$, then $\widetilde{\gamma}_n(\theta)\subset\widetilde{\Gamma}$ and by (2.27), we derive

$$\max_{\theta\in[0,1]}(I\circ\Phi)(\widetilde{\gamma}_n(\theta))\le\widetilde{c}+\frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Hence by means of the Ekeland's variational principle [17], we can see that there exists a sequence $\{(\tau_n,v_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{R}\times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that,

$$(I\circ\Phi)(\tau_n,v_n)\to c, \tag{2.28}$$

$$(I\circ\Phi)'(\tau_n,v_n)\to 0 \text{ in } (\mathbb{R}\times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))^{-1}, \tag{2.29}$$

and

$$\min_{\theta\in[0,1]}\|(\tau_n,v_n)-\widetilde{\gamma}_n(\theta)\|_{\mathbb{R}\times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\to 0 \tag{2.30}$$

as $n\to\infty$, where the norm of $(\tau,u)\in\mathbb{R}\times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is defined as usual as $\|(\tau,u)\|=(\tau^2+\|u\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Set $u_n=\Phi(\tau_n,v_n)$, then by (2.28) we deduce that

$$I(u_n)\to c.$$

For every $(h,w)\in\mathbb{R}\times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, by (2.29) we have

$$\langle(I\circ\Phi)'(\tau_n,v_n),(h,w)\rangle=\langle I'(\Phi(\tau_n,v_n)),\Phi(\tau_n,w)\rangle+P(\Phi(\tau_n,v_n))h\to 0. \tag{2.31}$$

Taking $h=1$, $w=0$ in (2.31), we get

$$P(u_n)=P(\Phi(\tau_n,v_n))\to 0.$$

If we take $h=0$ in (2.31), then we have

$$\langle I'(u_n),w\rangle=\langle(I\circ\Phi)'(\theta_n,v_n),(\Phi(-\theta_n),0)\rangle,$$

and combing with (2.30), we derive

$$I'(u_n)\to 0.$$

Hence, we have got a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ that satisfies (2.24). □

To find a least energy solution for problem (1.7), we set

$$m\triangleq\inf\{I(w):w\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\backslash\{0\}\ \text{ and }\ P(w)=0\}.$$

Lemma 2.8. *$m \geq c$, where c is given by* (2.21).

Proof. For any $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\backslash\{0\}$ with $P(w) = 0$, then letting $w_t \triangleq w(\frac{x}{t})$ if $t > 0$, and $w_t \triangleq w(x)$ if $t = 0$. Hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I(w_t) &= I(w_t) - \frac{t^3}{3}P(w) \\ &= \left(\frac{t}{2} - \frac{t^3}{6}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w|^2 dx + \left(\frac{t^3}{6} - \frac{t^5}{10}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_w |w|^5 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, there exists a sufficiently large $T_0 > 0$ such that $I(w_{T_0}) < 0$ and $I(w_t)$ achieves the strict global maximum at $t = 1$, that is, $I(w) = \max_{t>0} I(w_t)$.

On the other hand, we set the path

$$\eta_0(t) = \begin{cases} w(\frac{x}{tT_0}), & t > 0, \\ 0, & t = 0, \end{cases}$$

thus $\eta_0(0) = 0$, $I(\eta_0(1)) = I(w_{T_0}) < 0$ and then $\eta_0(t) \in \Gamma$, where Γ is given by (2.22). As a consequence of this fact, we can infer that

$$I(w) = \max_{t\geq 0} I(w_t) = \max_{t\geq 0} I(w_{tT_0}) = \max_{t\geq 0} I(\eta_0(t)) \geq \max_{t\in[0,1]} I(\eta_0(t)) \overset{(2.21)}{\geq} c.$$

Since $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\backslash\{0\}$ with $P(w) = 0$ is arbitrary, we have that $m \geq c$. □

Because of the appearance of the critical nonlocal term, we have to estimate the Mountain-pass value given by (2.21) carefully. To do it, we choose the extremal function

$$U_\epsilon(x) = \frac{(3\epsilon^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(\epsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

to solve $-\Delta u = u^5$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a cut-off function verifying that $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\operatorname{supp}\varphi \subset B_2(x_0)$, and $\varphi(x) \equiv 1$ on $B_1(x_0)$. Set $v_\epsilon = \varphi U_\epsilon$, then thanks to the asymptotic estimates from [11], we have

$$|\nabla v_\epsilon|_2^2 = S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\epsilon), \quad |v_\epsilon|_6^2 = S^{\frac{1}{2}} + O(\epsilon) \tag{2.32}$$

and for all $s \in [2, 6)$,

$$|v_\epsilon|_s^s = \begin{cases} O(\epsilon^{\frac{s}{2}}), & \text{if } s \in [2, 3), \\ O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}|\log\epsilon|), & \text{if } s = 3, \\ O(\epsilon^{\frac{6-s}{2}}), & \text{if } s \in (3, 6). \end{cases} \tag{2.33}$$

Lemma 2.9. *For $q \in (3, 5)$ with any $\mu > 0$, or $q \in (1, 3]$ with sufficiently large $\mu > 0$, the Mountain-pass value given by* (2.21) *satisfies*

$$c < \frac{2}{5}S^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

where S is the best Sobolev constant given in (2.1).

Proof. This lemma can be seen as a direct corollary of Lemma 3.4 in Section 3 where a more general case is taken into account, so we do not give its detail proof. □

Now we introduce the Vanishing lemma for Sobolev space as follows.

Lemma 2.10. (*see* [29, 30]) *Assume that* $\{u_n\}$ *is bounded in* $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *and satisfies*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{B_r(y)}|u_n|^2dx=0$$

for some $r>0$. *Then* $u_n\to 0$ *in* $L^m(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *for every* $2<m<6$.

3. Proof of main results

In this section, we will show the proofs for Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.5 in detail. Before we prove Theorem 1.1, we obtain Theorem 1.5 for convenience.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $\{u_n\}$ be the sequence given in (2.24) and c be the Mountain-pass value for the functional I, respectively. It is easy to show that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded is $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Indeed, the following computations are elementary, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} c+o(1) &\overset{(2.24)}{=} I(u_n)-\frac{1}{3}P(u_n)\\ &= \frac{1}{3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u_n|^2dx+\frac{1}{15}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_{u_n}|u_n|^5dx\\ &\geq \frac{1}{3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u_n|^2dx \overset{(2.1)}{\geq} \frac{S}{3}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u_n|^6dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{3}} \end{aligned}$$

and in view of (2.5), (2.6), (2.24), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u_n|^2dx &\leq \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u_n|^2+|u_n|^2dx\\ &= c+\frac{1}{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_{u_n}|u_n|^5dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}F(u_n)dx+o(1)\\ &\leq c+\frac{1}{10S}|u_n|_6^{10}+\epsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}u_n^2dx+C_\epsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u_n|^6dx+o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\epsilon=\frac{1}{4}>0$, thus there exists $C>0$ independent on $n\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $|u_n|_2\leq C<+\infty$ and then we can infer that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded is $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Therefore there exists $u\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $u_n\rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ after passing to a subsequence. To end the proof, we will split it into several steps:

Step 1: $u\not\equiv 0$.

In fact, we will argue it indirectly and just suppose

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{B_r(y)}|u_n|^2dx=0, \tag{3.1}$$

then from Lemma 2.10 we derive that $u_n\to 0$ in $L^m(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $m\in(2,6)$. Thus by using Strass's compactness lemma in [10] and the assumptions $(f_1)-(f_2)$, one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}F(u_n)dx\to 0 \ \text{ and } \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}u_nf(u_n)dx\to 0.$$

Recalling (2.24) and the above formulas, we have the following results at once:

$$I(u_n)=\frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2-\frac{1}{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_{u_n}|u_n|^5dx=c+o(1)$$

and

$$\langle I'(u_n),u_n\rangle=\|u_n\|^2-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_{u_n}|u_n|^5dx=o(1).$$

Hence without loss of generality, we may assume

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|u_n\|^2=\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_{u_n}|u_n|^5dx=l, \ \text{ and } \ c=\frac{2}{5}l.$$

On the other hand, by (2.7) we can deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_{u_n}|u_n|^5dx\le S^{-6}\|u_n\|^{10}$$

which implies that $l\le S^{-6}l^5$. Hence either $l=0$ or $l\ge S^{\frac{3}{2}}$. But $l=0$ yields that $c=0$ which is a contradiction to (2.21), so $l\ge S^{\frac{3}{2}}$. However

$$c=\frac{2}{5}l\ge\frac{2}{5}S^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

which also yields a contradiction to Lemma 2.9. Therefore (3.1) can never hold and then there exist $r,\eta>0$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{B_r(y_n)}|u_n|^2dx\ge\eta>0,$$

here we may assume $y_n\in\mathbb{Z}^3$ by taking a larger r if necessary. Since I is invariant under translations by (2.3), we may assume that $\{y_n\}$ is bounded in $\mathbb{Z}^3$. Thus, passing to a subsequence we have $u_n\rightharpoonup u\neq 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Step 2: $I'(u)=0$.

To see this, since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is dense in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then it suffices to show

$$\langle I'(u),\varphi\rangle=0 \ \text{ for any } \ \varphi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Indeed $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then there is $M\in(0,+\infty)$ such that

$$\max\left\{|u_n|_2,|u|_2,|u_n|_6^5,|u|_6^5,|\varphi|_2,|\varphi|_{12}\right\}\le M<+\infty.$$

Hence for any $\sigma > 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\sigma) \triangleq (\frac{\sigma}{2M^2C_\sigma})^{12} > 0$ such that for any measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with $|E| < \delta$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}\int_E |f(u_n)\varphi|dx &\overset{(2.5)}{\leq} \frac{\sigma}{2M^2}\int_E |u_n||\varphi|dx + C_\sigma \int_E |u_n|^5|\varphi|dx \\ &\leq \frac{\sigma}{2M^2}|u_n|_2|\varphi|_2 + C_\sigma|E|^{\frac{1}{12}}|u_n|_6^5|\varphi|_{12} < \sigma\end{aligned}$$

which reveals that $\{f(u_n)\varphi\}$ is uniformly integrable in $\mathbb{R}^3$. As a consequence of the Vitali's Dominated Convergence Theorem, we can deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(u_n)\varphi dx = \int_{\text{supp}\,\varphi} f(u_n)\varphi dx \to \int_{\text{supp}\,\varphi} f(u)\varphi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(u)\varphi dx. \tag{3.2}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (2.11) and (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle I'(u_n), \varphi\rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\nabla u_n \nabla\varphi + u_n\varphi]dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n}|u_n|^3 u_n\varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(u_n)\varphi dx \\ &\to \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\nabla u\nabla\varphi + u\varphi]dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u|u|^3 u\varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(u)\varphi dx \\ &= \langle I'(u), \varphi\rangle\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\langle I'(u), \varphi\rangle = 0$$

by (2.24) for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Step 3: $I(u) = m$ and $u(x) > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Using Lemma 2.4 and the Step 2 that $P(u) = 0$, so by Fatou's lemma and Lemma 2.8

$$\begin{aligned}c \leq m &\leq I(u) = I(u) - \frac{1}{3}P(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{15}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u|u|^5 dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\left\{\frac{1}{3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \frac{1}{15}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n}|u_n|^5 dx\right\} \\ &= \liminf_{n\to\infty}\{I(u_n) - \frac{1}{3}P(u_n)\} \overset{(2.24)}{=} c\end{aligned}$$

which reveals that $I(u) = m$. The remainder is to show that $u(x) > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. In fact, it is obvious that $|u|$ is also a least energy solution of (1.7) since the functional I is symmetric, hence we may assume that such a least energy solution does not change sign, *i.e.* $u \geq 0$. By means of the strong maximum principle and standard arguments, see *e.g.* [2, 9, 27, 35, 40], we obtain that $u(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Thus, (u, ϕ_u) is a positive least energy solution of (1.7) and the proof is complete. □

Now we begin to deal with the case that $V(x)$ is not a constant, however the method to prove Theorem 1.5 can not be applied because of the effect on $V(x)$. In order to get

a bounded $(PS)_c$ sequence for the functional I_V, we make use of the monotone method introduced by L. Jeanjean [21].

Proposition 3.1. *(See* [21, Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 2.3]*) Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and $T \subset R^+$ be an interval, consider a family of C^1 functionals on X of the form*

$$\Phi_\lambda(u) = A(u) - \lambda B(u), \quad \forall \lambda \in T,$$

with $B(u) \geq 0$ and either $A(u) \to +\infty$ or $B(u) \to +\infty$ as $\|u\| \to +\infty$. Assume that there are two points $v_1, v_2 \in X$ such that

$$c_\lambda = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} \Phi_\lambda(\gamma(\theta)) > \max\{\Phi_\lambda(v_1), \Phi_\lambda(v_1)\}, \quad \forall \lambda \in T, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], X) : \gamma(0) = v_1, \gamma(1) = v_2\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Then, for almost $\lambda \in T$, there is a sequence $\{u_n(\lambda)\} \subset X$ such that

(a) $\{u_n(\lambda)\}$ is bounded in X;

(b) $\Phi_\lambda(u_n(\lambda)) \to c_\lambda$ and $\Phi'_\lambda(u_n(\lambda)) \to 0$;

(c) the map $\lambda \to c_\lambda$ is non-increasing and left continuous.

Letting $T = [\delta, 1]$, where $\delta \in (0, 1)$ is a positive constant. To apply Proposition 3.1, we will introduce a family of C^1 functionals on $X = H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with the form

$$I_{V,\lambda}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + V(x)|u|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u |u|^5 dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u) dx. \tag{3.5}$$

Then let $I_{V,\lambda}(u) = A(u) - \lambda B(u)$, where

$$A(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + V(x)|u|^2 dx \to +\infty \ \text{ as } \ \|u\| \to +\infty,$$

and

$$B(u) = \frac{1}{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u |u|^5 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u) dx \geq 0.$$

It is clear that $I_{V,\lambda}$ is a well-defined C^1 functional on the space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and for all $u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, one has

$$\langle I'_{V,\lambda}(u), v\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla u \nabla v + V(x)uv dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u |u|^3 uv dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(u)v dx.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Under the assumptions of (V_1)–(V_2), the function $I_{V,\lambda}$ possesses a Mountain-pass geometry, that is,*

(a) there exists a $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $I_{V,\lambda}(v) \leq 0$ for all $\lambda \in [\delta, 1]$;
(b) $c_\lambda = \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \sup_{\theta\in[0,1]} I_{V,\lambda}(\gamma(\theta)) > \max\{I_{V,\lambda}(0), I_{V,\lambda}(v)\}$ for all $\lambda \in [\delta, 1]$, where

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = v\}.$$

Proof. (*a*) For fixed $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$, and any $\lambda \in [\delta, 1]$, by (V_2)

$$I_{V,\lambda}(tu) \le I_{V_\infty,\lambda}(u) \le \frac{t^2}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{t^2}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_\infty |u|^2 dx - \frac{t^{10}}{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u |u|^5 dx.$$

Since $I_{V_\infty,\lambda}(tu) \to -\infty$ as $t \to +\infty$ and we can chose $v = t_0 u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$, then $I_{V,\lambda}(v) < 0$ for t_0 large enough.

(*b*) By means of (2.1), (2.5), (2.7) and the Sobolev theorem, one has

$$I_{V,\lambda}(u) \ge \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{10S^6}\|u\|^{10} - \epsilon\|u\|^2 - C_\epsilon\|u\|^6.$$

Let $\epsilon = \frac{1}{4}$, then $I_{V,\lambda}(u) > 0$ when $\|u\| = \rho > 0$ small. □

Lemma 3.2 and the definition of $I_{V,\lambda}(u)$ imply that $I_{V,\lambda}(u)$ satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 3.1 with $X = H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\Phi_\lambda = I_{V,\lambda}$. So for *a.e.* $\lambda \in [\delta, 1]$, there exists a bounded sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (here we denote $\{u_n(\lambda)\}$ by $\{u_n\}$ for simplicity) such that

$$I_{V,\lambda}(u_n) \to c_\lambda, \;\; I'_{V,\lambda}(u_n) \to 0.$$

By Theorem 1.5, we infer that for any $\lambda \in [\delta, 1]$, the associated limit problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V_\infty u - \phi|u|^3 u = \lambda f(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta\phi = |u|^5, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

has a least energy solution in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, *i.e.* for any $\lambda \in [\delta, 1]$,

$$m_\lambda^\infty \triangleq \inf\{I_\lambda^\infty(w), \;\; w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\setminus\{0\} \;\text{ and }\; P_\lambda^\infty(w) = 0\}$$

is attained by some u_λ^∞, where

$$I_\lambda^\infty(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + V_\infty |u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u |u|^5 dx - \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u) dx$$

and

$$P_\lambda^\infty(u) \triangleq \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{3V_\infty}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u |u|^5 dx - 3\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u) dx \equiv 0.$$

Lemma 3.3. *$c_\lambda < m_\lambda^\infty$, where c_λ is given as Proposition 3.1.*

Proof. For any $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\setminus\{0\}$ with $P_\lambda^\infty(w) = 0$, then letting $w_t \triangleq w(\frac{x}{t})$ if $t > 0$, and $w_t \triangleq w(x)$ if $t = 0$. Hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda^\infty(w_t) &= I_\lambda^\infty(w_t) - \frac{t^3}{3}P_\lambda^\infty(w) \\ &= \left(\frac{t}{2} - \frac{t^3}{6}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w|^2 dx + \left(\frac{t^3}{6} - \frac{t^5}{10}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_w |w|^5 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, there exists a sufficiently large $T_0 > 0$ such that $I_\lambda^\infty(w_{T_0}) < 0$ and $I_\lambda^\infty(w_t)$ achieves the strict global maximum at $t = 1$, that is, $I_\lambda^\infty(w) = \max_{t>0} I_\lambda^\infty(w_t)$.

On the other hand, we set the path

$$\gamma_0(t) = \begin{cases} w(\frac{x}{tT_0}), & t > 0, \\ 0, & t = 0, \end{cases}$$

thus $\gamma_0(0) = 0$, $I^\infty_\lambda(\gamma_0(1)) = I^\infty_\lambda(w_{T_0}) < 0$ and then $\gamma_0(t) \in \Gamma$, where Γ is given by (3.4). As a consequence of this fact, we can infer that

$$\begin{aligned} I^\infty_\lambda(w) &= \max_{t\geq 0} I^\infty_\lambda(w_t) = \max_{t\geq 0} I^\infty_\lambda(w_{tT_0}) = \max_{t\geq 0} I^\infty_\lambda(\gamma_0(t)) \\ &\geq \max_{t\in[0,1]} I^\infty_\lambda(\gamma_0(t)) \overset{(V_2)}{>} \max_{t\in[0,1]} I_{V,K,\lambda}(\gamma_0(t)) \overset{(2.21)}{\geq} c_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Since $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\backslash\{0\}$ with $P^\infty_\lambda(w) = 0$ is arbitrary, we have that $c_\lambda < m^\infty_\lambda$. □

Lemma 3.4. *For $q \in (3, 5)$ with any $\mu > 0$, or $q \in (1, 3]$ with sufficiently large $\mu > 0$, the Mountain-pass value given by* (3.3) *satisfies*

$$c_\lambda < \frac{2}{5}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

for any $\lambda \in [\delta, 1]$ and S is the best Sobolev constant given in (2.1).

Proof. Firstly, we claim that there exist $t_1, t_2 \in (0, +\infty)$ independent of ϵ, λ such that $\max_{t\geq 0} I_{V,\lambda}(tv_\epsilon) = I_{V,\lambda}(t_\epsilon v_\epsilon)$ and

$$0 < t_1 < t_\epsilon < t_2 < +\infty. \tag{3.7}$$

Indeed, by the facts that $\lim_{t\to+\infty} I_{V,\lambda}(tv_\epsilon) = -\infty$ and (a) of Lemma 3.2, there exists $t_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\max_{t\geq 0} I_{V,\lambda}(tv_\epsilon) = I_{V,\lambda}(t_\epsilon v_\epsilon) > 0 \ \text{ and } \ \frac{d}{dt} I_{V,\lambda}(tv_\epsilon) = 0$$

which imply that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{V,\lambda}(t_\epsilon v_\epsilon) &= \frac{t_\epsilon^2}{2}\|v_\epsilon\|^2 - \frac{\lambda t_\epsilon^{10}}{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{v_\epsilon}|v_\epsilon|^5 dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(t_\epsilon v_\epsilon) dx \\ &\overset{(f_1)}{\leq} \frac{t_\epsilon^2}{4}\|v_\epsilon\|^2 - \frac{t_\epsilon^{10}}{10} S^{-6}\|v_\epsilon\|^{10} \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t_\epsilon^2\|v_\epsilon\|^2 &= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t_\epsilon v_\epsilon) t_\epsilon v_\epsilon dx + \lambda t_\epsilon^{10} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{v_\epsilon}|v_\epsilon|^5 dx \\ &\geq \delta\mu t_\epsilon^{q+1}|v_\epsilon|^{q+1}_{q+1} + \delta t_\epsilon^{10} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{v_\epsilon}|v_\epsilon|^5 dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

The fact $I_{V,\lambda}(t_\epsilon v_\epsilon) > 0$ yields that t_ϵ is bounded from below by (3.8). And since $q + 1 > 2$, then it follows from (3.9) that t_ϵ is bounded from above. Hence (3.7) is true.

Let us define

$$g(t) := \frac{t^2}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_\epsilon|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda t^{10}}{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{v_\epsilon}|v_\epsilon|^5 dx$$

$$:= \quad C_1 t^2 - C_2 t^{10},$$

where

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_\epsilon|^2 dx, \;\; C_2 = \frac{\lambda}{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{v_\epsilon}|v_\epsilon|^5 dx.$$

By some elementary calculations, we have

$$\max_{t\geq 0} g(t) = \frac{4(C_1)^{\frac{5}{4}}}{5(5C_2)^{\frac{1}{4}}} = \frac{2}{5}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_\epsilon|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{5}{4}}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{v_\epsilon}|v_\epsilon|^5 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}}. \tag{3.10}$$

The Poisson equation $-\Delta\phi_{v_\epsilon} = |v_\epsilon|^5$ and Cauchy's inequality give

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_\epsilon|^6 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla\phi_{v_\epsilon}\nabla|v_\epsilon| dx \leq \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\phi_{v_\epsilon}|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_\epsilon|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{v_\epsilon}|v_\epsilon|^5 dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_\epsilon|^2 dx\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{v_\epsilon}|v_\epsilon|^5 dx \quad &\geq \quad 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_\epsilon|^6 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_\epsilon|^2 dx \\ &\overset{(2.32)}{=} \quad S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\epsilon).\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of the above fact, one has

$$\max_{t\geq 0} g(t) \overset{(3.10)}{\leq} \frac{2}{5}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} \frac{\left(S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\epsilon)\right)^{\frac{5}{4}}}{\left(S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\epsilon)\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}} = \frac{2}{5}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} S^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\epsilon). \tag{3.11}$$

On the other hand, for $\epsilon > 0$ with $\epsilon < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(tv_\epsilon)dx \quad &\overset{(f_3)}{\geq} \quad \delta\mu t_\epsilon^{q+1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_\epsilon|^{q+1}dx \overset{(3.7)}{\geq} C\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_\epsilon|^{q+1}dx \\ &\overset{(2.33)}{=} \quad \begin{cases} C\mu O(\epsilon^{\frac{q+1}{2}}), & \text{if } q \in [1,2), \\ C\mu O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}|\log\epsilon|), & \text{if } q = 2, \\ C\mu O(\epsilon^{\frac{5-q}{2}}), & \text{if } q \in (2,5). \end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

We have proved $\max_{t\geq 0} I(tv_\epsilon) = I(t_\epsilon v_\epsilon)$ at the beginning, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{t\geq 0} I(tv_\epsilon) \quad &= \quad \frac{t_\epsilon^2}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_\epsilon|^2 + V(x)|v_\epsilon|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda t_\epsilon^{10}}{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{v_\epsilon}|v_\epsilon|^5 dx \\ &\quad -\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(tv_\epsilon)dx \\ &\overset{(V_2)}{\leq} \quad g(t_\epsilon) + \frac{V_\infty t_\epsilon^2}{2}|v_\epsilon|_2^2 - \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(tv_\epsilon)dx \\ &\leq \quad \frac{2}{5}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} S^{\frac{3}{2}} + CO(\epsilon) - \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(tv_\epsilon)dx,\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where we have used (2.33), (3.7) and (3.11) in the last inequality.

Using (3.12), one has

$$CO(\epsilon) - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(tv_\epsilon)dx \leq CO(\epsilon) - \begin{cases} C\mu O(\epsilon^{\frac{q+1}{2}}), & \text{if } q \in [1,2), \\ C\mu O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}|\log\epsilon|), & \text{if } q = 2, \\ C\mu O(\epsilon^{\frac{5-q}{2}}), & \text{if } q \in (2,5). \end{cases}$$

If $q \in (3,5)$, then $0 < \frac{5-q}{2} < 1$ and for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$

$$CO(\epsilon) - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(tv_\epsilon)dx \leq CO(\epsilon) - C\mu O(\epsilon^{\frac{5-q}{2}}) < 0$$

for any $\mu > 0$.

If $q \in (2,3]$ and $\mu = \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, then $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{5-q}{2} - \frac{1}{2} < 1$ and hence for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$

$$CO(\epsilon) - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(tv_\epsilon)dx \leq CO(\epsilon) - C\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} O(\epsilon^{\frac{5-q}{2}}) < 0$$

when $\mu > 0$ is sufficiently large.

If $q = 2$ and $\mu = \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, then for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$

$$CO(\epsilon) - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(tv_\epsilon)dx \leq CO(\epsilon) - C\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}|\log\epsilon|) < 0$$

when $\mu > 0$ is sufficiently large.

If $q \in (1,2)$ and $\mu = \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, then $\frac{1}{2} < \frac{q+1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} < 1$ and hence for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$

$$CO(\epsilon) - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(tv_\epsilon)dx \leq CO(\epsilon) - C\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} O(\epsilon^{\frac{q+1}{2}}) < 0$$

when $\mu > 0$ is sufficiently large.

Consequently, we have showed that for $q \in (3,5)$ with any $\mu > 0$, or $q \in (1,3]$ with sufficiently large $\mu > 0$

$$CO(\epsilon) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(tv_\epsilon)dx < 0$$

which indicates that $c_\lambda < \frac{2}{5}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$ by (2.8) and (3.13). □

A bounded $(PS)_{c_\lambda}$ is constructed and to get a critical point of the functional $I_{V,\lambda}$, the following version of a global compactness lemma is necessary to prove that the functional $I_{V,\lambda}$ satisfies $(PS)_{c_\lambda}$ condition for *a.e.* $\lambda \in [\delta, 1]$.

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that* $(V_1) - (V_2)$ *and* $(f_1) - (f_3)$ *hold, for any* $\lambda \in [\delta, 1]$ *and let* $\{u_n\}$ *be a bounded* $(PS)_{c_\lambda}$ *sequence for the functional* $I_{V,\lambda}$ *with*

$$c_\lambda < \frac{2}{5}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} S^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Then there exist a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ *still denoted by itself, an integer* $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$*, a sequence* $\{y_n^i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$*, and* $w_i \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *for* $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$ *such that*

(*a*) $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ *with* $I'_{V,\lambda}(u_0) = 0$;
(*b*) $|y_n^i| \to +\infty$ *and* $|y_n^i - y_n^j| \to +\infty$ *if* $i \neq j$;
(*c*) $w_i \neq 0$ *and* $(I_\lambda^\infty)'(w_i) = 0$ *for* $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$;
(*d*) $\|u_n - u_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} w_i(\cdot - y_n^i)\| \to 0$;
(*e*) $I_{V,\lambda}(u_n) \to I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} I_\lambda^\infty(w_i)$.

Proof. Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, going to a subsequence if necessary $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ and we have $I'_{V,\lambda}(u_0) = 0$ as the Step 2 in the proof of Theorem 1.5. On the other hand we claim that $I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) \geq 0$. In fact, since $I'_{V,\lambda}(u_0) = 0$, then $P_{V,\lambda}(u_0) = 0$, where $P_{V,\lambda}(u)$ is given as similar to (2.12). To simply the calculations, let us introduce the following notations:

$$\alpha \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx, \ \beta \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)|u_0|^2 dx, \ \overline{\beta} \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (x, \nabla V)|u_0|^2 dx, \tag{3.14}$$

$$\delta \triangleq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u |u|^5 dx, \ \ \kappa \triangleq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u) dx, \tag{3.15}$$

hence we have

$$\begin{cases} I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\beta - \frac{1}{10}\delta - \kappa, \\ P_{V,\lambda}(u_0) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{3}{2}\beta + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\delta - 3\kappa = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.16}$$

By means of the Hölder inequality, (2.1) and the assumption (V_1), one has

$$\begin{aligned} |\overline{\beta}| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (x, \nabla V)|u_0|^2 dx \right| \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(x, \nabla V)|^{\frac{3}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_0|^6 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\leq S S^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx = \alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

It follows from (3.14)-(3.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) &= I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) - \frac{1}{3} P_{V,\lambda}(u_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{3}\alpha - \frac{1}{6}\overline{\beta} + \frac{1}{15}\delta \geq \frac{1}{6}\alpha, \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

which yields that $I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) \geq 0$.

Let us set $u_n^1 \triangleq u_n - u_0$, then $u_n^1 \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence it follows from (2.10) and Brézis-Lieb lemma [12] that

$$\begin{cases} \|u_n\|^2 - \|u_n^1\|^2 - \|u_0\|^2 \to 0, \\ \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n} |u_n|^5 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n^1} |u_n^1|^5 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0} |u_0|^5 dx \to 0, \\ \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u_n) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u_n^1) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u_0) dx \to 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.19}$$

which reveals that

$$I_{V,\lambda}(u_n) - I_{V,\lambda}(u_n^1) - I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) \to 0 \tag{3.20}$$

and

$$\langle I'_{V,\lambda}(u_n), u_n \rangle - \langle I'_{V,\lambda}(u_n^1), u_n^1 \rangle - \langle I'_{V,\lambda}(u_0), u_0 \rangle \to 0. \tag{3.21}$$

Define

$$\sigma \triangleq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_1(y)} |u_n^1|^2 dx \geq 0.$$

We will consider the cases $\sigma = 0$ and $\sigma > 0$, respectively.

Case 1. $\sigma = 0$.

Using Lemma 2.10, we have that $u_n^1 \to 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $2 < p < 6$. Thus using Strass's compactness lemma in [10] and the assumptions $(f_1) - (f_2)$ again, one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u_n^1)dx \to 0 \ \text{ and } \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_n^1 f(u_n^1)dx \to 0.$$

By means of (3.20)-(3.21) and the above formulas, we have the following results at once:

$$c_\lambda - I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) + o(1) = \frac{1}{2}\|u_n^1\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n^1}|u_n^1|^5 dx$$

and

$$o(1) = \|u_n^1\|^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n^1}|u_n^1|^5 dx.$$

Hence without loss of generality, we may assume

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|u_n^1\|^2 = \lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n^1}|u_n|^5 dx = l^1, \ \text{ and } \ c_\lambda - I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) = \frac{2}{5}l^1.$$

On the other hand, by (2.7) we can deduce that

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n^1}|u_n^1|^5 dx \leq \lambda S^{-6}\|u_n^1\|^{10}$$

which implies that $l^1 \leq \lambda S^{-6}(l^1)^5$. Hence either $l^1 = 0$ or $l^1 \geq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$. If we suppose $l^1 \geq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$, then in view of the fact that $I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) \geq 0$, we can infer

$$c_\lambda \geq c_\lambda - I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) \geq \frac{2}{5}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

which yields a contradiction to Lemma 3.4. Thus $l^1 = 0$, *i.e.* $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|u_n^1\| \to 0$.

Case 2. $\sigma > 0$.

We may assume that there exists $y_n^1 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$\int_{B_1(y_n^1)} |u_n^1|^2 dx > \frac{\sigma}{2} > 0. \tag{3.22}$$

Let's define $\widetilde{u}_n^1(x) = u_n^1(x+y_n^1)$ and clearly $\{\widetilde{u}_n^1\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and we may suppose that $\widetilde{u}_n^1 \rightharpoonup w_1$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\widetilde{u}_n^1 \to w_1$ in $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\widetilde{u}_n^1 \to w_1$ *a.e.* in $\mathbb{R}^3$. As a consequence of (3.22), we get

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |w_1|^2 dx > \frac{\sigma}{2} > 0$$

which implies that $w_1 \neq 0$. Since $u_n^1 \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then $\{y_n^1\}$ must be unbounded. Going to a subsequence if necessary, $|y_n^1| \to +\infty$.

We now show that $(I_\lambda^\infty)'(w_1) = 0$. In fact, it is easy to show that

$$\langle (I_\lambda^\infty)'(\widetilde{u}_n^1), \varphi\rangle - \langle (I_\lambda^\infty)'(w_1), \varphi\rangle \to 0 \tag{3.23}$$

for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Since $u_n^1 \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we obtain

$$\langle I'_{V,\lambda}(u_n^1), \varphi(\cdot - y_n^1)\rangle - \langle I'_{V,\lambda}(0), \varphi(\cdot - y_n^1)\rangle \to 0$$

which yields that

$$\langle I'_{V,\lambda}(u_n^1), \varphi(\cdot - y_n^1)\rangle \to 0. \tag{3.24}$$

Using (V_2) and $|y_n^1| \to +\infty$, one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x + y_n^1)\widetilde{u}_n^1(x)\varphi(x)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_\infty \widetilde{u}_n^1(x)\varphi(x)dx \to 0. \tag{3.25}$$

Combing (3.24) and (3.25), one has

$$\langle (I_\lambda^\infty)'(\widetilde{u}_n^1), \varphi\rangle \to 0$$

which implies that $\langle (I_\lambda^\infty)'(w_1), \varphi\rangle = 0$ for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ together with (3.23).

In view of (V_2) and the locally compactness of Sobolev embedding, one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [V_\infty - V(x)]|u_n^1|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [V_\infty - V(x)]|u_n - u_0|^2 dx \to 0. \tag{3.26}$$

Hence it follows from (3.19) and (3.20) that

$$I_{V,\lambda}(u_n) - I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) - I_\lambda^\infty(u_n^1) \to 0. \tag{3.27}$$

Let us set $u_n^2(\cdot) \triangleq u_n^1(\cdot) - w_1(\cdot - y_n^1)$, then $u_n^2 \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Thus it follows from (2.10) and Brézis-Lieb lemma [12] that

$$\begin{cases} \|u_n^2\|^2 - \|u_n\|^2 - \|u_0\|^2 - \|w_1(\cdot - y_n^1)\| \to 0, \\ \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n^2}|u_n^2|^5 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n}|u_n|^5 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0}|u_0|^5 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{w_1}|w_1|^5 dx \to 0, \\ \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u_n^2)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u_n)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u_0)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(w_1(\cdot - y_n^1))dx \to 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.28}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n^2}|u_n^2|^3 u_n^2 \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n}|u_n|^3 u_n \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0}|u_0|^3 u_0 \varphi dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{w_1(x-y_n^1)}|w_1(x - y_n^1)|^3 w_1(x - y_n^1)\varphi dx \to 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)|u_n^2|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)|u_n|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)|u_0|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)|w_1(x - y_n^1)|^2 dx \to 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

By means of (3.28), (3.29) and (3.30), we derive

$$\begin{cases} I_{V,\lambda}(u_n^2) - I_{V,\lambda}(u_n) - I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) - I_{V,\lambda}(w_1) \to 0, \\ I_\lambda^\infty(u_n^2) - I_{V,\lambda}(u_n^1) - I_\lambda^\infty(w_1) \to 0, \\ \langle I'_{V,\lambda}(u_n^2), \varphi\rangle - \langle I'_{V,\lambda}(u_n), \varphi\rangle - \langle I'_{V,\lambda}(u_0), \varphi\rangle - \langle (I_\lambda^\infty)'(w_1), \varphi\rangle \to 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.31}$$

Thus by using (3.26), (3.27) and (3.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{V,\lambda}(u_n) &= I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) + I_\lambda^\infty(u_n^1) + o(1) \\ &= I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) + I_\lambda^\infty(w_1) + I_\lambda^\infty(u_n^2) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $I_\lambda^\infty(w_1) \geq 0$ and $I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) \geq 0$, then we can conclude that

$$I_{V,\lambda}(u_n^2) = I_{V,\lambda}(u_n) - I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) - I_\lambda^\infty(w_1) + o(1) \leq c_\lambda < \frac{2}{5}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} S^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Using the same arguments as before, let

$$\sigma_1 \triangleq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_1(y)} |u_n^2|^2 dx \geq 0.$$

If $\sigma_1 = 0$, then $\|u_n^2\| \to 0$, that is, $\|u_n - u_0 - w_1(\cdot - y_n^1)\| \to 0$ and hence the proof is complete with $k = 1$.

If $\sigma_1 > 0$, then there exists a sequence $\{y_n^2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and $w_2 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\widetilde{u_n^2}(x) \triangleq u_n^2(x + y_n^2) \rightharpoonup w_2$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. By (3.31), one has $(I_\lambda^\infty)'(w_2) = 0$. On the other hand, $u_n^2 \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ yields that $|y_n^2| \to +\infty$ and $|y_n^1 - y_n^2| \to +\infty$. Consequently iterating this procedure we can obtain there are sequences $\{y_n^i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $|y_n^i| \to +\infty$ and $|y_n^i - y_n^j| \to +\infty$ if $i \neq j$ and $u_n^i(x) \triangleq u_n^{i-1} - w_{i-1}(\cdot - y_n^{i-1})$ with $i \geq 2$ such that

$$u_n^i \rightharpoonup 0 \ \text{ in } \ H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \ \text{ and } \ (I_\lambda^\infty)'(w_i) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \|u_n\|^2 - \|u_0\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \|w_i(\cdot - y_n^i)\|^2 = \left\| u_n - u_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} w_i(\cdot - y_n^i) \right\|^2 + o(1) \\ I_{V,\lambda}(u_n) = I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} I_\lambda^\infty(w_i) + I_\lambda^\infty(u_n^k) + o(1). \end{cases} \tag{3.32}$$

Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then the iteration stops at some finite index $k + 1$ by (3.32). Consequently $u_n^{k+1} \to 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and using (3.32) again, we have the conclusions (d) and (e). The proof is completed. □

Using Lemma 3.5, we can prove the functional $I_{V,\lambda}$ satisfies the so-called $(PS)_{c_\lambda}$ condition, that is,

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that* $(V_1) - (V_2)$ *and* $(f_1) - (f_3)$ *hold, for* $\lambda \in [\delta, 1]$ *and let* $\{u_n\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *be a bounded* $(PS)_{c_\lambda}$ *sequence of* $I_{V,\lambda}$. *Then there exists a nontrivial* $u_\lambda \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *such that*

$$u_n \to u_\lambda \ \text{ in } \ H^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Proof. According to Lemma 3.5, we know that for $\lambda \in [\delta, 1]$, there exists a $u_\lambda \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u_\lambda, \;\; I'_{V,\lambda}(u_\lambda) = 0.$$

In order to end the proof, it is enough to show that $k \equiv 0$ by Lemma 3.5 (d). To show it, we argue by contradiction and suppose that $k > 0$. By contradiction, we assume there exist sequences $\{y_n^i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with $|y_n^i| \to +\infty$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$ such that

$$I_{V,\lambda}(u_n) \to I_{V,\lambda}(u_\lambda) + \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} I_\lambda^\infty(w_i),$$

where w_i is critical point of I_λ^∞ for $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$. It is clear that $I_\lambda^\infty(w_i) \geq m_\lambda^\infty$ and the last thing is to show $I_{V,\lambda}(u_\lambda) \geq 0$.

We give the following notations for simplicity

$$\alpha_\lambda \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_\lambda|^2 dx, \;\; \beta_\lambda \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)|u_\lambda|^2 dx, \;\; \overline{\beta}_\lambda \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (x, \nabla V)|u_\lambda|^2 dx, \tag{3.33}$$

$$\delta_\lambda \triangleq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_\lambda} |u_\lambda|^5 dx, \;\; \kappa_\lambda \triangleq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u_\lambda) dx, \tag{3.34}$$

hence we have

$$\begin{cases} I_{V,\lambda}(u_\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\beta_\lambda - \frac{1}{10}\delta_\lambda - \kappa_\lambda, \\ P_{V,\lambda}(u_\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_\lambda + \frac{3}{2}\beta_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\beta}_\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\delta_\lambda - 3\kappa_\lambda = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.35}$$

By means of the Hölder inequality, (2.1) and the assumption (V_1), one has

$$\begin{aligned} |\overline{\beta}_\lambda| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (x, \nabla V)|u_\lambda|^2 dx \right| \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(x, \nabla V)|^{\frac{3}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_\lambda|^6 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\leq S S^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_\lambda|^2 dx = \alpha_\lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

It follows from (3.33)-(3.36) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{V,\lambda}(u_\lambda) &= I_{V,\lambda}(u_\lambda) - \frac{1}{3} P_{V,\lambda}(u_\lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{3}\alpha_\lambda - \frac{1}{6}\overline{\beta}_\lambda + \frac{1}{15}\delta_\lambda \geq \frac{1}{6}\alpha_\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

which gives that $I_{V,\lambda}(u_\lambda) \geq 0$. Therefore, we infer that

$$c_\lambda = \lim_{n\to\infty} I_{V,\lambda}(u_n) = I_{V,\lambda}(u_\lambda) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} I_\lambda^\infty(w_i) \geq m_\lambda^\infty,$$

which yields a contradiction in view of Lemma 3.3. So $u_n \to u_\lambda$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Consequently, we obtain $I_{V,\lambda}(u_\lambda) = c_\lambda$ and $I'_{V,\lambda}(u_\lambda) = 0$. □

Now we will establish a least energy solution for the system (1.1), we define

$$m_V \triangleq \inf_{u \in \mathcal{S}_V} I_V(u),$$

where $\mathcal{S}_V \triangleq \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\backslash\{0\} : I'_V(u) = 0\}$.

Lemma 3.7. *$\mathcal{S}_V \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 that for almost everywhere $\lambda \in [\delta, 1]$, there exists a bounded sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$I_{V,\lambda}(u_n) \to c_\lambda, \;\; I'_{V,\lambda}(u_n) \to 0.$$

Recalling Lemma 3.6, $I_{V,\lambda}$ has a nontrivial critical point $u_\lambda \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $I_{V,\lambda}(u_\lambda) = c_\lambda$. By means of the above discussions again and again, there exists a sequence $\{\lambda_n\} \subset [\delta, 1]$ with $\lambda_n \to 1^-$ and an associated sequence $\{u_{\lambda_n}\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $I_{V,\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}) = c_{\lambda_n}$ and $I'_{V,\lambda}(u_{\lambda_n}) = 0$. Note that the Lemma 2.4, then $P_{V,\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}) = 0$. Hence it is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.5 that $\{u_{\lambda_n}\}$ is bounded is $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Since $\lambda_n \to 1^-$, we claim that $\{u_{\lambda_n}\}$ is a $(PS)_{c_1}$ sequence of $I_V = I_{V,1}$. In fact, as a consequence of Proposition 3.1 (c) we obtain that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} I_{V,1}(u_{\lambda_n}) = \left(\lim_{n\to\infty} I_{V,\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}) + (\lambda_n - 1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u_{\lambda_n})dx\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty} c_{\lambda_n} = c_1$$

and for all $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\backslash\{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\langle I'_{V,1}(u_{\lambda_n}), \psi\rangle|}{\|\psi\|} &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\left|\langle I'_{V,\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}), \psi\rangle + (\lambda_n - 1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(u_{\lambda_n})\psi dx\right|}{\|\psi\|} \\
&\leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\lambda_n - 1|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(u_{\lambda_n})\|\psi|dx}{\|\psi\|} \\
&\overset{(2.5)}{\leq} \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\lambda_n - 1|}{\|\psi\|}\left(\epsilon|u_{\lambda_n}|_2|\psi|_2 + C_\epsilon|u_{\lambda_n}|_6^5|\psi|_6\right) \to 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which imply that $\{u_{\lambda_n}\}$ is a $(PS)_{c_1}$ sequence of $I_V = I_{V,1}$, where we have used the fact that $\{u_{\lambda_n}\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then by Lemma 3.5, I_V has a nontrivial critical point, that is, $I_V(u_0) = c_1$ and $I'_V(u_0) = 0$. The proof is complete. □

Lemma 3.8. *For $q \in (3, 5)$ with any $\mu > 0$, or $q \in (1, 3]$ with sufficiently large $\mu > 0$, there holds*

$$0 < m_V < \frac{2}{5}S^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that $m_V < \frac{2}{5}S^{\frac{3}{2}}$ when $q \in (3, 5)$ with any $\mu > 0$, or $q \in (1, 3]$ with sufficiently large $\mu > 0$. Next we show $m > 0$, for any $u \in \mathcal{S}_V$, the Pohozăev identity (2.12) holds, that is, $P_V(u) = 0$. Therefore we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_V(u) &= I_V(u) - \frac{1}{3}P_V(u) \\
&= \frac{1}{3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{6}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (x, \nabla V)|u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{15}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u|u|^5 dx \\
&\geq \frac{1}{3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{6}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (x, \nabla V)|u|^2 dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\overset{(3.17)}{\geq} \quad \frac{1}{6}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2dx \tag{3.37}$$

which implies that $m_V \geq 0$. Before we rule out $m_V = 0$, we claim that there exists a constant $\varrho > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2dx \geq \varrho > 0, \ \ \forall u \in \mathcal{S}_V.$$

Indeed, using $\langle I_V'(u), u\rangle = 0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2+|u|^2dx \quad &= \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_u|u|^5dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}uf(u)dx\\ &\overset{(2.6)}{\leq} \quad S^{-1}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u|^6dx\Big)^{\frac{5}{3}}+\epsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u|^2dx+C_\epsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u|^6dx\\ &\overset{(2.5)}{\leq} \quad S^{-6}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2dx\Big)^5+\epsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u|^2dx+S^{-3}C_\epsilon\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2dx\Big)^3.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\epsilon = 1$ in the above formula, we can infer that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2dx \leq S^{-6}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2dx\Big)^5+CS^{-3}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2dx\Big)^3,$$

which gives us that the claim is true, where we have used the fact that $|\nabla u|_2 > 0$ otherwise $u \equiv 0$ by (2.1) which is a contradiction to $u \in \mathcal{S}_V$.

Now we show $m_V > 0$, argue it by contradiction and assume there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{S}_V$ such that $I_V(u_n) \to 0$. Hence from (3.37), one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u_n|^2dx \to 0,$$

which is a contradiction to $|\nabla u_n|_2^2 \geq \varrho > 0$. Thus $m_V > 0$, the proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a minimizing sequence of $m_V = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{S}_V} I_V(u)$, that is, the sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfies $I_V'(u_n) = 0$ and $I_V(u_n) \to m_V$. Similar to the arguments in the proofs of Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.8, we can conclude that $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded $(PS)_{m_V}$ sequence of I_V. Then by virtue of Lemma 3.6, there exists a function $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$I_V'(u) = 0 \ \text{ and } \ I_V(u) = m_V > 0.$$

As Step 3 in the proof of Theorem 1.5, we have that $u(x) > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. It is therefore that $(u, \phi_u) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a positive least energy solution to the system (1.1). The proof is complete. □

Proof of Corollary 1.2. The proof is totally same as that of Theorem 1.1 except (3.18), hence we just show $I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) \geq 0$ when the assumption (V_1) is replaced by (V_3). In fact,

using (V_3) and (1.6), one has

$$\begin{aligned} |\overline{\beta}| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (x, \nabla V)|u_0|^2 dx \right| \leq A \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_0|^2}{|x|^2} dx \\ &\leq 4A \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx \leq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence similar to the proof of (3.18),

$$\begin{aligned} I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) &= I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) - \frac{1}{3} P_{V,\lambda}(u_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{3}\alpha - \frac{1}{6}\overline{\beta} + \frac{1}{15}\delta \geq \frac{1}{6}\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that $I_{V,\lambda}(u_0) \geq 0$. The proof is complete. □

Acknowledgements: The authors are supported by NSFC (Grant No. 11371158), the program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University (No. IRT13066).

References

[1] N. Ackermann, A nonlinear superposition principle and multi-bump solutions of periodic Schrödinger equations, *J. Funct. Anal.* **234** (2006) 277-320. 2

[2] C. O. Alves, G. M. Figueiredo, On multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for a class of quasilinear problems with critical exponential growth in $\mathbb{R}^N$, *J. Differential Equations,* **246** (2009) 1288-1311. 17

[3] C. O. Alves, M. A. S. Souto, Existence of least energy nodal solution for a Schrödinger-Poisson system in bounded domains, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **65** (2014) 1153-1166. 3

[4] A. Azzollini, P. d'Avenia, A. Pomponio, On the Schördinger-Maxwell equations under the effect of a general nonlinear term, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré. Anal. Non-lineairé,* **27** (2010) 779-791. 2

[5] A. Azzollini and P. d'Avenia, On a system involving a critically growing nonlinearity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **387** (2012) 433-438. 3

[6] A. Azzollini, P. d'Avenia, V. Luisi, Generalized Schördinger-Poisson type systems, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **12** (2013) 867-879. 3

[7] V. Benci, D. Fortunato, An eigenvalue problem for the Schördinger-Maxwell equations, *Topol. Methods. Nonlinear Anal.* **11** (1998) 283-293. 2, 7

[8] V. Benci, D. Fortunato, Solitary waves of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon coupled with Maxwell equations, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **14** (2002) 409-420. 2

[9] E. D. Benedetto, $C^{1+\alpha}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate results for elliptic equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **7** (1983) 827-850. 17

[10] H. Berestycki, P. L. Lions, Nonlinear scalar field equations I, existence of a ground state, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **84** (1983) 313-346. 2, 10, 16, 24

[11] H. Brézis, L. Nirenberg, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **36** (1983) 437-477. 14

[12] H. Brézis, E. Lieb, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **88** (1983) 486-490. 8, 23, 25

[13] G. Coclite, A multiplicity result for the nonlinear Schröinger-Maxwell equations, *Commun. Appl. Anal.* **7** (2003) 417-423. 2

[14] T. d'Aprile, D. Mugnai, Solitary waves for nonlinear Klein-Gordon-Maxwell and Schrödinger-Maxwell equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A,* **134** (2004) 893-906. 2

[15] T. d'Aprile, D. Mugnai, Non-existence results for the coupled Klein-Gordon-Maxwell equations, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **4** (2004) 307-322. 2, 10
[16] T. d'Aprile, Non-radially symmetric solution of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation coupled with Maxwell equations, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **2** (2002) 177-192. 2
[17] I. Ekeland, Nonconvex minimization problems, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1** (1979) 443-473. 13
[18] X. He, W. Zou, Existence and concentration of ground states for Schrödinger-Poisson equations with critical growth, *J. Math. Phys.* **53** (2012) 023702. 3
[19] L. Huang, E. Rocha, J. Chen, Two positive solutions of a class of Schrödinger-Poisson system with indefinite nonlinearity, *J. Differential Equations,* **255** (2013) 2463-2483. 3
[20] L. Jeanjean, Existence of solutions with prescribed norm for semilinear elliptic equations, *Nonlinear Anal. Theory, Methods & Applications,* **28** (1997) 1633-1659. 3, 5, 6, 12
[21] L. Jeanjean, On the existence of bounded Palais-Smale sequences and application to a Landesman-Lazer type problem set on $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A,* **129** (1999) 787-809. 5, 18
[22] L. Jeanjean, K. Tanaka, A positive solution for a nonlinear Schördinger equation on $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **54** (2005) 443-464. 5
[23] Y. Jiang and H. Zhou, Schrödinger-Poisson system with steep potential well, *J. Differential Equations,* **251** (2011) 582-608. 3
[24] A. Kufner, L. E. Persson, Weighted Inequalities of Hardy Type, *Word Scientific,* 2003. 4
[25] F. Li, Y. Li, J. Shi, Existence of positive solutions to Schrödinger-Poisson type systems with critical exponent, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **10** (2008) 1450036. 3, 4, 8, 10
[26] F. Li, Q. Zhang, Existence of positive solutions to the Schrödinger-Poisson system without compactness conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **401** (2013) 754-762. 3
[27] G. Li, Some properties of weak solutions of nonlinear scalar fields equation, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **14** (1989) 27-36. 17
[28] G. Li, H. Ye, Existence of positive ground state solutions for the nonlinear Kirchhoff type equations in $\mathbb{R}^3$, *J. Differential Equations,* **257** (2014) 566-600. 4
[29] P. L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variation. The locally compact case. Part I, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **1** (1984) 109-145. 15
[30] P. L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variation. The locally compact case. Part II, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **1** (1984) 223-283. 15
[31] P. L. Lions, Solutions of Hartree-Fock equations for Coulomb systems, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **109** (1987) 33-97. 2
[32] Z. Liu, S. Guo, On ground state solutions for the Schördinger-Poisson equations with critical growth, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **412** (2014) 435-448. 2
[33] H. Liu, Positive solutions of an asymptotically periodic Schrödinger-Poisson system with critical exponent, *Nonlinear Anal.* **32** (2016) 198-212. 3
[34] P. A. Markowich, C. A. Ringhofer, C. Schmeiser, Semiconductor Equations, *Spriner-Verlag,* Vienna, 1990. 2
[35] J. Moser, A new proof of de Giorgi's theorem concerning the regularity problem for elliptic differential equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **13** (1960) 457-468. 17
[36] D. Ruiz, The Schrödinger-Poisson equation under the effect of a nonlinear local term, *J. Funct. Anal.* **237** (2006) 655-674. 2
[37] D. Ruiz, G. Vaira, Cluster solutions for the Schrödinger-Poisson-Slater problem around a local minimum of potential, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* bf27 (2011) 253-271. 3
[38] L. Shen, X. Yao, Multiple positive solutions for Schrödinger-Poisson systems involving critical nonlocal term, *submitted*. 3
[39] J. Sun, S. Ma, Ground state solutions for some Schrodinger-Poisson systems with periodic potentials, *J. Differential Equations,* **260** (2016) 2119-2149. 3
[40] N. S. Trudinger, On Harnack type inequalities and their application to quasilinear elliptic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **20** (1967) 721-747. 17
[41] M. Willem, Minimax Theorems, *Birkhäuser,* Boston, 1996. 8

[42] J. Zhang, On the Schrödinger-Poisson equations with a general nonlinearity in the critical growth, *Nonlinear Anal.* **75** (2012) 6391-6401. 3
[43] L. Zhao, F. Zhao, On the existence of solutions for the Schrödinger-Poisson equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **346** (2008) 155-169. 5
[44] L. Zhao, F. Zhao, Positive solutions for Schrödinger-Poisson equations with a critical exponent, *Nonlinear Anal.* **70** (2009) 2150-2164. 3
[45] L. Zhao, H. Liu, F. Zhao, Existence and concentration of solutions for Schördinger-Poisson equations with steep well potential, *J. Differential Equations,* **255** (2013) 1-23. 4

Liejun Shen, Hubei Key Laboratory of Mathematical Sciences and School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, 430079, P. R. China

E-mail address: liejunshen@sina.com

Xiaohua Yao, Hubei Key Laboratory of Mathematical Sciences and School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, 430079, P.R. China

E-mail address: yaoxiaohua@mail.ccnu.edu.cn